

Zeros of the Riemann zeta function on the critical line

Shaoji Feng

Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science

Chinese Academy of Sciences

Beijing 100190

P. R. China

email: fsj@amss.ac.cn

Abstract. We introduce a new mollifier and apply the method of Levinson and Conrey to prove that at least 41.28% of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function are on the critical line. The method may also be used to improve other results on zeros relate to the Riemann zeta function, as well as conditional results on prime gaps.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11M26, 11M06

Keywords. Riemann zeta function, zeros, critical line, mollifier

1. Introduction

Let $\zeta(s)$ denote the Riemann zeta function, where $s = \sigma + it$. It is defined for $\sigma > 1$ by

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s} = \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1},$$

where p runs over the prime numbers, and has a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane with its only pole, a simple pole at $s = 1$. It satisfies the functional equation

$$\xi(s) = \xi(1-s), \quad (1.1)$$

where the entire function $\xi(s)$ is defined by

$$\xi(s) = H(s)\zeta(s) \quad (1.2)$$

with

$$H(s) = \frac{s(s-1)}{2} \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right). \quad (1.3)$$

Let $N(T)$ denote the number of zeros of $\zeta(s)$, $s = \sigma + it$, in the rectangle $0 < \sigma < 1$, $0 < t \leq T$, each zeros is counted with multiplicity, von Mangoldt proved that (see [24])

$$N(T) = \frac{T}{2\pi}(\log \frac{T}{2\pi} - 1) + \frac{7}{8} + S(T) + O(\frac{1}{T}),$$

$$S(T) = \frac{1}{\pi} \arg \zeta(\frac{1}{2} + iT) = O(\log T), \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let $N_0(T)$ be the number of zeros of $\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)$ on $0 < t \leq T$, each zeros is counted with multiplicity, $N_{0s}(T)$ be the number of simple zeros of $\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)$ on $0 < t \leq T$. The Riemann Hypothesis says that $N_0(T) = N(T)$, the Simple Zeros Conjecture combined with the Riemann Hypothesis says that $N_{0s}(T) = N_0(T) = N(T)$.

It was proved for the first time by Hardy [11] in 1914 that $\zeta(s)$ has infinitely many zeros on the critical line $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$, thus

$$N_0(T) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hardy's qualitative result was given a quantitative form

$$N_0(T) \geq AT$$

for some $A > 0$ and T large enough, by Hardy and Littlewood [12] in 1921, and later, with an explicit value of A , the same result was obtained by Siegel [23] in 1932 with a rather different method.

Let

$$\kappa = \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_0(T)}{N(T)}, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\kappa^* = \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_{0s}(T)}{N(T)}. \tag{1.5}$$

In 1942, Selberg [21] proved that there is an effectively computable positive constant A such that

$$\kappa \geq A.$$

Selberg's proof involved combing a 'mollifier' to compensate for irregularities in the size of $|\zeta(s)|$ and the method of Hardy and Littlewood.

In 1974, Levinson [14] combined Siegel's idea and Selberg's idea and proved that

$$\kappa \geq 0.3420.$$

The Levinson method involve the following main issues:

i) ‘Perturb’ the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ to a function $f(s)$ such that (if the Riemann Hypothesis is true) $f(s)$ remains has no (or few) zeros in the rectangle $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$, $0 < t \leq T$ and the zeros of $\zeta(s)$ on the critical line are ‘pushed’ to the left of the critical line. Levinson’s choice of $f(s)$ in [14] is essentially $\zeta(s) + \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\log T}$.

ii) Use the Littlewood Lemma and a convexity inequality to estimate the upper bound of zeros $\beta + i\gamma$ of $f(s)$ in the rectangle $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{R}{\log T} < \sigma < 1$, $0 < t \leq T$ with weight $\beta - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{R}{\log T}$, and then get an upper bound estimation of zeros of $f(s)$ in the rectangle $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$, $0 < t \leq T$.

iii) A mollifier $\psi(s)$ is used in ii) to compensate for irregularities in the size of $|f(s)|$. The mollifier Levinson constructed in [14] is

$$\psi(s) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq y} \frac{\mu(j)}{j^{\frac{R}{\log T}} j^s} \frac{\log y/j}{\log y}, \quad (1.6)$$

where $y = T^\theta$ (with $\theta = \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$) is the length of the mollifier.

iv) Since (see Levinson and Montgamery [17]) unconditionally $\zeta(s)$ and $f(s)$ have almost the same number of zeros in the rectangle $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$, $0 < t \leq T$, ii) gives an upper bound estimation of zeros of $\zeta(s)$ in the rectangle $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$, $0 < t \leq T$ and then a lower bound estimation of $N_0(T)$.

In an attempt to semi-optimize the coefficients of the mollifier $\psi(s)$, Levinson [15] choose

$$\psi(s) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq y} \frac{\mu(j)}{j^{\frac{2R}{\log T}} j^s} \frac{y^{\frac{2R}{\log T}} - j^{\frac{2R}{\log T}}}{y^{\frac{2R}{\log T}} - 1} \quad (1.7)$$

with $y = T^{\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon}$, and gives the result

$$\kappa \geq 0.3474.$$

Heath-Brown [13] and Selberg independently noticed that the zeros located by Levinson’s method are simple zeros of $\zeta(s)$, thus

$$\kappa^* \geq 0.3474.$$

It is the first time one proved unconditionally that there are infinitely many simple zeros of $\zeta(s)$ in the critical strip.

Lou and Yao [18] choose the mollifier

$$\psi(s) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq y} \frac{\mu(j)}{j^{\frac{2R}{\log T}} j^s} \frac{y^{\frac{2R}{\log T}} - j^{\frac{2R}{\log T}}}{y^{\frac{2R}{\log T}} - 1} + h_0 \sum_{1 \leq j \leq y} \frac{\mu(j)}{j^{\frac{R}{\log T}} j^s} \frac{\log \frac{y}{j} \log j}{\log^2 y} \quad (1.8)$$

with h_0 a real constant and $y = T^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$. This leads to

$$\kappa \geq 0.3484.$$

Lou also announced a result of $\kappa \geq 0.35$, but without detailed proof.

In [4], Conrey use the more general mollifier

$$\psi(s) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq y} \frac{\mu(j)}{j^{\frac{R}{\log T}} j^s} P\left(\frac{\log y/j}{\log y}\right), \quad (1.9)$$

where $y = T^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$ and P is an analytic function with $P(0) = 0$ and $P(1) = 1$. The function $f(s)$ is also generalized to

$$f(s) = Q\left(-\frac{1}{\log T} \frac{d}{ds}\right) \zeta(s),$$

where Q is a real polynomial with $Q(0) = 1$ and $Q'(x) = Q'(1-x)$. By choosing P and Q appropriately this gives

$$\kappa \geq 0.3658.$$

With Levinson's original $f(s)$ and the mollifier (1.9), Conrey [5] obtain

$$\kappa^* \geq 0.3485.$$

Anderson [1] use the mollifier (1.7) and

$$f(s) = \zeta(s) + a_1 \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\log T},$$

where a_1 is an arbitrary real number. This gives

$$\kappa^* \geq 0.3532.$$

In 1989, Conrey [6] proved that

$$\kappa \geq 0.4088$$

and

$$\kappa^* \geq 0.4013.$$

These significant improvement has been obtained by using a mollifier of length $y = T^\theta$ with $\theta = \frac{4}{7} - \varepsilon$. The work of Deshouillers and Iwaniec [7,8] on Kloosterman sums is used to estimate the error terms of the mean value integral for this longer mollifier.

Recently, in [3], Bui, Conrey and Young introduced a two-piece mollifier and proved

$$\kappa \geq 0.4105,$$

$$\kappa^* \geq 0.4058.$$

Although the Levinson method and its modification are most successful and hopeful at present for estimation of the proportion of zeros of the Riemann zeta function on the critical line, there are several aspects that prevent one from getting essentially better results:

a) Zhang [25] proved on Small Gap Zeros conjecture and the Riemann Hypothesis and later Feng [10] proved only on Small Gap Zeros conjecture that $\zeta'(s)$ has a positive proportion of zeros near the critical line. By the functional equation this means that $\zeta(s) + \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\log T}$ has a positive proportion of zeros near the critical line. That is, although the zeros of $\zeta(s)$ on the critical line are ‘pushed’ to the left of the critical line, there is a positive proportion of zeros which are not ‘pushed’ far away from the critical line enough. Therefore, if one expect to prove that 100% of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function are on the critical line by using the Levinson Method, they must let $R \rightarrow 0$ or construct an essentially different $f(s)$.

b) Farmer [9] proposed the ‘ $\theta = \infty$ conjecture’ and proved that this implies 100% of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function are on the critical line. This shows that the length of the mollifier are key for the Levinson Method. However, we can see in Conrey [6] that it is very difficult to deal with the error terms for longer mollifier.

c) For given $f(s)$ and given length of the mollifier, it is too complicated to optimize exactly the coefficients of the mollifier.

In this paper, we prove the following

Theorem 1.

$$\kappa \geq 0.4128. \tag{1.10}$$

Remark. One can combine our method and that of Bui, Conrey and Young [3] to improve both κ and κ^* .

The framework of proof follows that of Levinson and Conrey. The main new element here is the use of a different mollifier:

$$\psi(s) = \sum_{j \leq y} \frac{\mu(j)}{j^{\frac{R}{\log T} + s}} P_1\left(\frac{\log y/j}{\log y}\right) + \sum_{j \leq y_1} \frac{\mu(j)}{j^{\frac{R}{\log T} + s}} \left(\sum_{p_1 p_2 | j} \frac{\log p_1 \log p_2}{\log^2 y_1} P_2\left(\frac{\log y_1/j}{\log y_1}\right) \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{p_1 p_2 p_3 | j} \frac{\log p_1 \log p_2 \log p_3}{\log^3 y_1} P_3\left(\frac{\log y_1/j}{\log y_1}\right) + \cdots \\
& + \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_I | j} \frac{\log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_I}{\log^I y_1} P_I\left(\frac{\log y_1/j}{\log y_1}\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

where $y = T^\theta$ with $\theta = \frac{4}{7} - \varepsilon$ and $y_1 = T^{\theta_1}$ with $\theta_1 = \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$, $I \geq 2$ is a integer, P_1 is a real polynomial with $P_1(0) = 0$ and $P_1(1) = 1$, $P_l (l = 2, \cdots I)$ are real polynomials with $P_l(0) = 0$, $p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_I$ runs over the prime numbers.

Selberg [22] proved that for $a_1 = 1$,

$$\sum_{m, n \leq y} \frac{a_m a_n}{mn} (m, n) \geq 1 / \left(\sum_{n \leq y} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{\phi(n)} \right) = \frac{1 + o(1)}{\log y}, \tag{1.12}$$

where (m, n) is the greatest common divisor of m and n , $\phi(n)$ is Euler's phi function, and the equality are achieved when

$$a_n = \mu(n) \frac{nL(y/n; n)}{\phi(n)L(y; 1)}, \tag{1.13}$$

where

$$L(X, n) = \sum_{\substack{m \leq X \\ (m, n) = 1}} \frac{\mu^2(m)}{\phi(m)}.$$

For fixed n it is not difficult to show that

$$L(X, n) \sim \frac{\phi(n)}{n} \log X$$

and it may be expected that $L(X, n)$ is approximately this size for most n . This suggests that a_n in (1.13) are approximately

$$\mu(n) \frac{\log y/n}{\log y}. \tag{1.14}$$

Thus the motivation of Levinson's choice of the coefficients of the mollifier can be understood as to minimize the quadratic form in (1.12), which is simplification of the main term for the mean value integral (see Montgomery [19]).

On the other hand, the mollifier (1.6)-(1.9) can also be understood as 'continuous' truncation of the Dirichlet series of $\frac{1}{\zeta(s)}$. Therefore the mollifier can be seemed as try to mollify $\zeta(s)$.

However, while in Selberg's method we need to mollify $\zeta(s)$, in Levinson's method we really need to mollify the perturbed function $f(s)$. Our motivation of choice of (1.12) is try to mollify $\zeta(s) + \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\log T}$. Consider the Dirichlet series

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(s) + \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\log T}} = \frac{1}{\zeta(s)} - \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\log T \zeta^2(s)} + \frac{\zeta'^2(s)}{\log^2 T \zeta^3(s)} - \frac{\zeta'^3(s)}{\log^3 T \zeta^4(s)} + \cdots. \tag{1.15}$$

For j be a square-free positive integer, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mu * \Lambda)(j) &= -\mu(j) \log j, \\
(\mu * \Lambda * \Lambda)(j) &= \mu(j) \sum_{p_1 p_2 | j} \log p_1 \log p_2, \\
(\mu * \Lambda * \Lambda * \Lambda)(j) &= -\mu(j) \sum_{p_1 p_2 p_3 | j} \log p_1 \log p_2 \log p_3, \\
&\dots,
\end{aligned} \tag{1.16}$$

where $f * g$ denotes the Dirichlet convolution of arithmetic functions f and g . For those j which contains a square divisor, the coefficients a_j defined according to (1.15) contribute a lower order term for the mean value integral. Therefore, the mollifier (1.11) can be seemed as simplification of ‘continuous’ truncation of the Dirichlet series

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(s) + \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\log T}}.$$

We mention that the method we used here may also apply to improve other results on zeros relate to the Riemann zeta function, as well as conditional results on prime gaps.

2. Beginning of the proof and some lemmas

By a standard discussion as in Conrey [6] section 3 (see Levinson [14] and Conrey [4] also), we have by (1.1), Littlewood Lemma and the fact

$$a_j \ll 1, a_1 = 1 \tag{2.1}$$

that

Lemma 1. Let T be a large parameter and $L = \log T$, R be a positive real number, $\sigma_0 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{R}{L}$, $y = T^\theta$ with $\theta = \frac{4}{7} - \varepsilon$ and $y_1 = T^{\theta_1}$ with $\theta_1 = \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$,

$$B(s) = \sum_{j \leq y} \frac{a_j}{j^{s + \frac{R}{L}}}, \tag{2.2}$$

for $y_1 < j \leq y$,

$$a_j = \mu(j) P_1\left(\frac{\log y/j}{\log y}\right), \tag{2.3}$$

for $j \leq y_1$,

$$a_j = \mu(j) \left(P_1\left(\frac{\log y/j}{\log y}\right) + \sum_{p_1 p_2 | j} \frac{\log p_1 \log p_2}{\log^2 y_1} P_2\left(\frac{\log y_1/j}{\log y_1}\right) \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{p_1 p_2 p_3 | j} \frac{\log p_1 \log p_2 \log p_3}{\log^3 y_1} P_3\left(\frac{\log y_1 / j}{\log y_1}\right) + \cdots \\
& + \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_I | j} \frac{\log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_I}{\log^I y_1} P_I\left(\frac{\log y_1 / j}{\log y_1}\right), \tag{2.4}
\end{aligned}$$

where $I \geq 2$ is a integer, P_1 is a real polynomial with $P_1(0) = 0$ and $P_1(1) = 1$, $P_l (l = 2, \dots, I)$ are real polynomials with $P_l(0) = 0$, $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_I$ runs over the prime numbers. Let

$$V(s) = Q\left(-\frac{1}{L} \frac{d}{ds}\right) \zeta(s), \tag{2.5}$$

where Q is a real polynomial with $Q(0) = 1$ and $Q'(x) = Q'(1-x)$. Then we have

$$\kappa \geq 1 - \frac{1}{R} \log\left(\frac{1}{T} \int_1^T |BV(\sigma_0 + it)|^2 dt\right) + o(1). \tag{2.6}$$

Let α, β be complex numbers with $\alpha, \beta \ll \frac{1}{L}$, $s_0 = \frac{1}{2} + iw$ with $T \leq w \leq 2T$. Let $\Delta = T^{1-\delta}$, $0 < \delta < 1$, a_j defined by (2.4). Let

$$\mathcal{B}(s) = \sum_{j \leq y} \frac{a_j}{j^s} = B\left(s - \frac{R}{L}\right),$$

and

$$g(\alpha, \beta, w) = \frac{1}{i\Delta\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{(\frac{1}{2})} e^{(s-s_0)^2 \Delta^{-2}} \zeta(s+\alpha) \zeta(1-s+\beta) \mathcal{B}(s) \mathcal{B}(1-s) ds, \tag{2.7}$$

where (c) denotes the straight line path from $c - i\infty$ to $c + i\infty$. By the method of Balasubramanian, Conrey and Heath-Brown [2], to estimate the mean value integral in (2.6), it suffice to obtain an evaluation of $g(\alpha, \beta, w)$ uniformly for $\alpha, \beta \ll \frac{1}{L}, T \leq w \leq 2T$.

The following lemma is due to Conrey [6].

Lemma 2. Let α, β be complex numbers with $\alpha, \beta \ll \frac{1}{L}$, y_1, y, a_j be as in Lemma 1, $0 < \delta < 1, \Delta = T^{1-\delta}$, g be as in (2.7), and

$$\Sigma(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{h, k \leq y} \frac{a_h a_k}{h^{1+\alpha} k^{1+\beta}} (h, k)^{1+\alpha+\beta}. \tag{2.8}$$

Then as $T \rightarrow \infty$,

$$g(\alpha, \beta, w) = \frac{\Sigma(\beta, \alpha) - e^{-(\alpha+\beta)L} \Sigma(-\alpha, -\beta)}{\alpha + \beta} + o_\delta(1) \tag{2.9}$$

uniformly in α, β and w .

Lemma 2 reduce the evaluation of $g(\alpha, \beta, w)$, and therefore the evaluation of the mean value integral in (2.6), to the evaluation of $\Sigma(\alpha, \beta)$.

Denote

$$F(j, w) = \prod_{p|j} (1 - \frac{1}{p^w}), \quad (2.10)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma(\alpha, \beta) &= \sum_{h, k \leq y} \frac{a_h a_k}{h^{1+\alpha} k^{1+\beta}} \sum_{j|(h, k)} j^{1+\alpha+\beta} F(j, 1 + \alpha + \beta) \\ &= \sum_{j \leq y} j^{1+\alpha+\beta} F(j, 1 + \alpha + \beta) \sum_{\substack{j|h \\ h \leq y}} \frac{a_h}{h^{1+\alpha}} \sum_{\substack{j|k \\ k \leq y}} \frac{a_k}{k^{1+\beta}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Let

$$E_\alpha(j) = \sum_{\substack{j|h \\ h \leq y}} \frac{a_h}{h^{1+\alpha}}. \quad (2.12)$$

In section 3, we evaluate $E_\alpha(j)$. Then we use this to evaluate $\Sigma(\alpha, \beta)$ in section 4.

We also need the following lemmas for evaluation of $E_\alpha(j)$ and $\Sigma(\alpha, \beta)$.

Lemma 3 (see Conrey [4]). Let P be a real polynomial with $P(0) = 0$ and

$$S = \sum_{\substack{n \leq y/j \\ (n, j)=1}} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^{1+\alpha}} P\left(\frac{\log y/nj}{\log y}\right). \quad (2.13)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \frac{1}{F(j, 1 + \alpha)} \left(\alpha P\left(\frac{\log y/j}{\log y}\right) + \frac{1}{\log y} P'\left(\frac{\log y/j}{\log y}\right) \right) \\ &\quad + O\left(\frac{(\log \log y)^2 F_1(j, 1 - 2\delta)}{\log^2 y}\right) + O\left(\frac{(\log \log y)^2 F_1(j, 1 - 2\delta)}{\log y} \left(\frac{j}{y}\right)^d\right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

uniformly for $j \leq y$, $\alpha \ll \frac{1}{\log y}$. Here $F(j, w)$ defined by (2.11),

$$F_1(j, w) = \prod_{p|j} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^w}\right), \quad (2.15)$$

$\delta = 1/\log \log y$, and

$$d = \frac{1}{M \log \log y}, \quad (2.16)$$

where M is a sufficiently large constant.

Lemma 4 (Mertens Theorem).

$$\sum_{p \leq y} \frac{\log p}{p} = \log y + O(1). \quad (2.17)$$

Lemma 5 (Levinson [14]).

$$\sum_{p|j} \frac{\log p}{p} = O(\log \log j). \quad (2.18)$$

Lemma 6 (Levinson [16]). Let N be a positive integer and

$$J(x) = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n, N)=1}} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{n} \prod_{p|n} (1 + f(p)), \quad (2.19)$$

where

$$f(p) = O\left(\frac{1}{p^c}\right) \quad (2.20)$$

for some $c > 0$. Then

$$J(x) = \prod_{p|N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{(p, N)=1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right) \left(1 + \frac{f(p)}{p+1}\right) \log x + O(\log \log(N+1)) \quad (2.21)$$

with the O independent of x and N .

Lemma 7. Let m be a positive integer, α be a complex number and f be a continuous function, $D \geq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_1^D \frac{1}{x_1^{1+\alpha}} \int_1^{\frac{D}{x_1}} \frac{1}{x_2^{1+\alpha}} \cdots \int_1^{\frac{D}{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{m-2}}} \frac{1}{x_{m-1}^{1+\alpha}} \int_1^{\frac{D}{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{m-1}}} \frac{f(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_m)}{x_m^{1+\alpha}} dx_m \cdots dx_2 dx_1 \\ &= \int_1^D \frac{f(x) \log^{m-1} x}{(m-1)! x^{1+\alpha}} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

Proof. We prove by induction. The case $m = 1$ is obvious. We assume the case $m-1$ is valid, then by integration by parts we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_1^D \frac{1}{x_1^{1+\alpha}} \int_1^{\frac{D}{x_1}} \frac{1}{x_2^{1+\alpha}} \cdots \int_1^{\frac{D}{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{m-2}}} \frac{1}{x_{m-1}^{1+\alpha}} \int_1^{\frac{D}{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{m-1}}} \frac{f(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_m)}{x_m^{1+\alpha}} dx_m \cdots dx_2 dx_1 \\ &= \int_1^D \frac{1}{x_1^{1+\alpha}} \int_1^{\frac{D}{x_1}} \frac{f(x_1 x) \log^{m-2} x}{(m-2)! x^{1+\alpha}} dx dx_1 \\ &= \int_1^D \frac{1}{x} \int_x^D \frac{f(t) \log^{m-2}(t/x)}{(m-2)! t^{1+\alpha}} dt dx \\ &= \int_1^D \frac{\log x}{x} \int_x^D \frac{f(t) \log^{m-3}(t/x)}{(m-3)! t^{1+\alpha}} dt dx \\ &= \cdots \\ &= \int_1^D \frac{\log^{m-2} x}{(m-2)! x} \int_x^D \frac{f(t)}{t^{1+\alpha}} dt dx \\ &= \int_1^D \frac{f(x) \log^{m-1} x}{(m-1)! x^{1+\alpha}} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

The proof is complete.

Lemma 8. For positive integer m_1, m_2 and square-free j ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m_1} | j} \log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_{m_1} \sum_{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m_2} | j} \log q_1 \log q_2 \cdots \log q_{m_2} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\min(m_1, m_2)} \mathcal{P}_{m_1}^k \mathcal{C}_{m_2}^k \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m_1+m_2-k} | j} \log^2 p_1 \log^2 p_2 \cdots \log^2 p_k \log p_{k+1} \cdots \log p_{m_1+m_2-k}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

where p and q runs over prime numbers, $\mathcal{P}_m^k = \frac{m!}{(m-k)!}$, $\mathcal{C}_m^k = \frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}$.

Proof. The summation due to the case that there are just k prime-square factors in $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m_1} q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{m_2}$ is

$$\mathcal{P}_{m_1}^k \mathcal{C}_{m_2}^k \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m_1+m_2-k} | j} \log^2 p_1 \log^2 p_2 \cdots \log^2 p_k \log p_{k+1} \cdots \log p_{m_1+m_2-k}. \quad (2.25)$$

Sum k from 0 to $\min(m_1, m_2)$ we get (2.24).

Lemma 9. Let $k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \geq 1$ be integers, f be a continuous function, $D \geq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_1^D \frac{\log x_1}{x_1} \int_1^{\frac{D}{x_1}} \frac{\log x_2}{x_2} \cdots \int_1^{\frac{D}{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k_1-1}}} \frac{\log x_{k_1}}{x_{k_1}} \int_1^{\frac{D}{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k_1}}} \frac{1}{x_{k_1+1}} \cdots \\ & \int_1^{\frac{D}{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k_1+k_2-2}}} \frac{1}{x_{k_1+k_2-1}} \int_1^{\frac{D}{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k_1+k_2-1}}} \frac{f(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k_1+k_2})}{x_{k_1+k_2}} dx_{k_1+k_2} \cdots dx_1 \\ &= \int_1^D \frac{f(x) \log^{2k_1+k_2-1} x}{(2k_1+k_2-1)! x} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

Proof. We prove by induction for k_1 . Let $\alpha = 0$ and $m = k_2$ in lemma 7, the case $k_1 = 0$ follows. We assume the case $k_1 - 1$ is valid, then similar to (2.23), by integration by parts we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_1^D \frac{\log x_1}{x_1} \int_1^{\frac{D}{x_1}} \frac{\log x_2}{x_2} \cdots \int_1^{\frac{D}{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k_1-1}}} \frac{\log x_{k_1}}{x_{k_1}} \int_1^{\frac{D}{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k_1}}} \frac{1}{x_{k_1+1}} \cdots \\ & \int_1^{\frac{D}{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k_1+k_2-2}}} \frac{1}{x_{k_1+k_2-1}} \int_1^{\frac{D}{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k_1+k_2-1}}} \frac{f(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k_1+k_2})}{x_{k_1+k_2}} dx_{k_1+k_2} \cdots dx_1 \\ &= \int_1^D \frac{\log x_1}{x_1} \int_1^{\frac{D}{x_1}} \frac{f(x_1 x) \log^{2k_1+k_2-3} x}{(2k_1+k_2-3)! x} dx dx_1 \\ &= \int_1^D \frac{\log x}{x} \int_x^D \frac{f(t) \log^{2k_1+k_2-3}(t/x)}{(2k_1+k_2-3)! t} dt dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_1^D \frac{\log^2 x}{2x} \int_x^D \frac{f(t) \log^{2k_1+k_2-4}(t/x)}{(2k_1+k_2-4)!t} dt dx \\
&= \dots \\
&= \int_1^D \frac{\log^{2k_1+k_2-2} x}{(2k_1+k_2-2)!x} \int_x^D \frac{f(t)}{t} dt dx \\
&= \int_1^D \frac{f(x) \log^{2k_1+k_2-1} x}{(2k_1+k_2-1)!x} dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

3. Evaluation of $E_\alpha(j)$

Throughout this section, estimation are uniformly for $j \leq y$, $\alpha \ll \frac{1}{\log y}$.

By (2.12) and (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E_\alpha(j) &= \sum_{\substack{j|h \\ h \leq y}} \frac{\mu(h)}{h^{1+\alpha}} P_1\left(\frac{\log y/h}{\log y}\right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{j|h \\ h \leq y_1}} \frac{\mu(h)}{h^{1+\alpha}} \sum_{p_1 p_2 | h} \frac{\log p_1 \log p_2}{\log^2 y_1} P_2\left(\frac{\log y_1/h}{\log y_1}\right) + \dots \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{j|h \\ h \leq y_1}} \frac{\mu(h)}{h^{1+\alpha}} \sum_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_l | h} \frac{\log p_1 \log p_2 \dots \log p_l}{\log^l y_1} P_l\left(\frac{\log y_1/h}{\log y_1}\right) \\
&= \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 + \dots + \Sigma_I,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

say.

Let $n = h/j$, $\delta = 1/\log \log y$, and $d = \frac{1}{M \log \log y}$, where M is a sufficiently large constant, by lemma 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_1 &= \frac{\mu(j)}{j^{1+\alpha}} \sum_{\substack{n \leq y/j \\ (n,j)=1}} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^{1+\alpha}} P_1\left(\frac{\log(y/nj)}{\log y}\right) \\
&= \frac{\mu(j)}{j^{1+\alpha} F(j, 1+\alpha)} \left(\alpha P_1\left(\frac{\log y/j}{\log y}\right) + \frac{1}{\log y} P'_1\left(\frac{\log y/j}{\log y}\right) \right) \\
&\quad + O\left(\frac{\mu(j)(\log \log y)^2 F_1(j, 1-2\delta)}{j \log^2 y}\right) \\
&\quad + O\left(\frac{\mu(j)(\log \log y)^2 F_1(j, 1-2\delta)}{j \log y} \left(\frac{j}{y}\right)^d\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

For $l \geq 2$, we only need consider $j \leq y_1$, since $\Sigma_l = 0$ for $j > y_1$. Let $n = h/j$, we have

$$\Sigma_l = \frac{\mu(j)}{j^{1+\alpha}} \sum_{\substack{n \leq y_1/j \\ (n,j)=1}} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^{1+\alpha}} \sum_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_l | nj} \frac{\log p_1 \log p_2 \dots \log p_l}{\log^l y_1} P_l\left(\frac{\log(y_1/nj)}{\log y_1}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\mu(j)}{j^{1+\alpha}} \sum_{\substack{n \leq y_1/j \\ (n,j)=1}} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^{1+\alpha}} P_l\left(\frac{\log(y_1/nj)}{\log y_1}\right) \left(\sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_l | j} \frac{\log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_l}{\log^l y_1} \right. \\
&\quad + \cdots \\
&\quad + \mathcal{C}_l^m \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{l-m} | j} \frac{\log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_{l-m}}{\log^{l-m} y_1} \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m | n} \frac{\log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_m}{\log^m y_1} \\
&\quad + \cdots \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_l | n} \frac{\log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_l}{\log^l y_1} \right). \tag{3.3}
\end{aligned}$$

For $1 \leq m \leq l$, let $n_0 = \frac{n}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m}$, we have by lemma 3

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{n \leq y_1/j \\ (n,j)=1}} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^{1+\alpha}} P_l\left(\frac{\log(y_1/nj)}{\log y_1}\right) \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m | n} \frac{\log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_m}{\log^m y_1} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m \leq y_1/j \\ (p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m, j)=1}} \frac{\mu(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m) \log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_m}{(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m)^{1+\alpha} \log^m y_1} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\substack{n_0 \leq \frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m j} \\ (n_0, p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m j)=1}} \frac{\mu(n_0)}{n_0^{1+\alpha}} P_l\left(\frac{\log(y_1/n_0 p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m j)}{\log y_1}\right) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m \leq y_1/j \\ (p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m, j)=1}} \frac{\mu(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m) \log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_m}{F(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m j, 1+\alpha) (p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m)^{1+\alpha} \log^m y_1} \\
&\quad \times \left(\alpha P_l\left(\frac{\log \frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m j}}{\log y_1}\right) + \frac{1}{\log y_1} P'_l\left(\frac{\log \frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m j}}{\log y_1}\right) \right) \\
&\quad + O\left(\sum_{\substack{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m \leq y_1/j \\ (p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m, j)=1}} \frac{\log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_m (\log \log y_1)^2 F_1(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m j, 1-2\delta_1)}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m \log^{m+2} y_1} \right) \\
&\quad + O\left(\sum_{\substack{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m \leq y_1/j \\ (p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m, j)=1}} \frac{\log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_m (\log \log y_1)^2 F_1(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m j, 1-2\delta_1)}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m \log^{m+1} y_1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \left(\frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m j}{y_1} \right)^{d_1} \right) \\
&= A_1 + O(A_2) + O(A_3), \tag{3.4}
\end{aligned}$$

say, where $\delta_1 = 1/\log \log y_1$, $d_1 = \frac{1}{M \log \log y_1}$ with M a sufficiently large constant.

Now we evaluate A_1 first. We have by (2.10)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m \leq y_1/j \\ (p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m, j)=1}} \frac{\mu(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m) \log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_m}{F(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m j, 1+\alpha) (p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m)^{1+\alpha}} P_l\left(\frac{\log \frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m j}}{\log y_1}\right) \\
&= \frac{-1}{F(j, 1+\alpha)} \sum_{\substack{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} \leq y_1/j \\ (p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1}, j)=1}} \frac{\mu(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1}) \log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_{m-1}}{(p_1^{1+\alpha} - 1)(p_2^{1+\alpha} - 1) \cdots (p_{m-1}^{1+\alpha} - 1)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\times \sum_{\substack{pm \leq y_1/p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} j \\ (pm, p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} j)=1}} \frac{\log p_m}{p_m^{1+\alpha} - 1} P_l\left(\frac{\log \frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m j}}{\log y_1}\right). \quad (3.5)$$

Consider the inner summation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{pm \leq y_1/p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} j \\ (pm, p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} j)=1}} \frac{\log p_m}{p_m^{1+\alpha} - 1} P_l\left(\frac{\log \frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m j}}{\log y_1}\right) \\ &= \sum_{pm \leq y_1/p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} j} \frac{\log p_m}{p_m^{1+\alpha} - 1} P_l\left(\frac{\log \frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m j}}{\log y_1}\right) \\ & \quad - \sum_{\substack{pm \leq y_1/p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} j \\ pm | p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} j}} \frac{\log p_m}{p_m^{1+\alpha} - 1} P_l\left(\frac{\log \frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m j}}{\log y_1}\right) \\ &= B_1 - B_2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

say. Since $P_l(x)$ is bounded in $[0, 1]$, we have for $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m j \leq y_1$,

$$P_l\left(\frac{\log \frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m j}}{\log y_1}\right) = O(1). \quad (3.7)$$

Thus by lemma 4 and Abel summation,

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} j}} \frac{1}{x_m^{1+\alpha} - 1} P_l\left(\frac{\log \frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} j x_m}}{\log y_1}\right) dx_m + O(1) \\ &= \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} j}} \frac{1}{x_m^{1+\alpha}} P_l\left(\frac{\log \frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} j x_m}}{\log y_1}\right) dx_m + O(1), \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

by lemma 5,

$$B_2 = O(\log \log(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} j)) = O(\log \log y_1). \quad (3.9)$$

Combine (3.5), (3.6), (3.8) and (3.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m \leq y_1/j \\ (p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m, j)=1}} \frac{\mu(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m) \log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_m}{F(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m j, 1 + \alpha) (p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m)^{1+\alpha}} P_l\left(\frac{\log \frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m j}}{\log y_1}\right) \\ &= \frac{-1}{F(j, 1 + \alpha)} \sum_{\substack{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} \leq y_1/j \\ (p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1}, j)=1}} \frac{\mu(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1}) \log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_{m-1}}{(p_1^{1+\alpha} - 1)(p_2^{1+\alpha} - 1) \cdots (p_{m-1}^{1+\alpha} - 1)} \\ & \quad \times \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} j}} \frac{1}{x_m^{1+\alpha}} P_l\left(\frac{\log \frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} j x_m}}{\log y_1}\right) dx_m \\ & \quad + O\left(\frac{\log \log y_1}{F(j, 1 + \alpha)} \sum_{\substack{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} \leq y_1/j \\ (p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1}, j)=1}} \frac{\mu(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1}) \log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_{m-1}}{(p_1^{1+\alpha} - 1)(p_2^{1+\alpha} - 1) \cdots (p_{m-1}^{1+\alpha} - 1)}\right) \\ &= C_1 + O(C_2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

say. By lemma 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_2 &= O(F_1(j, 1 - 2\delta_1) \log \log y_1 \prod_{r=1}^{m-1} \sum_{p_r \leq y_1} |\frac{\log p_r}{p_r^{1+\alpha} - 1}|) \\ &= O(F_1(j, 1 - 2\delta_1) \log^{m-1} y_1 \log \log y_1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

(3.10) and (3.11) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m \leq y_1/j \\ (p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m, j)=1}} \frac{\mu(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m) \log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_m}{F(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m j, 1 + \alpha) (p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m)^{1+\alpha}} P_l(\frac{\log \frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m j}}{\log y_1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{F(j, 1 + \alpha)} \sum_{\substack{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-2} \leq y_1/j \\ (p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-2}, j)=1}} \frac{\mu(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-2}) \log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_{m-2}}{(p_1^{1+\alpha} - 1)(p_2^{1+\alpha} - 1) \cdots (p_{m-2}^{1+\alpha} - 1)} \\ & \quad \times \sum_{\substack{p_{m-1} \leq y_1/p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-2} j \\ (p_{m-1}, p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-2} j)=1}} \frac{\log p_{m-1}}{p_{m-1}^{1+\alpha} - 1} \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} j}} \frac{1}{x_m^{1+\alpha}} P_l(\frac{\log \frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} j x_m}}{\log y_1}) dx_m \\ & \quad + O(F_1(j, 1 - 2\delta_1) \log^{m-1} y_1 \log \log y_1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Therefore by induction for m and lemma 7, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m \leq y_1/j \\ (p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m, j)=1}} \frac{\mu(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m) \log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_m}{F(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m j, 1 + \alpha) (p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m)^{1+\alpha}} P_l(\frac{\log \frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m j}}{\log y_1}) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^m}{F(j, 1 + \alpha)} \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{j}} \frac{1}{x_1^{1+\alpha}} \cdots \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{j x_1 \cdots x_{m-2}}} \frac{1}{x_{m-1}^{1+\alpha}} \\ & \quad \times \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{j x_1 \cdots x_{m-1}}} \frac{1}{x_m^{1+\alpha}} P_l(\frac{\log \frac{y_1}{j x_1 \cdots x_{m-1} x_m}}{\log y_1}) dx_m dx_{m-1} \cdots dx_1 \\ & \quad + O(F_1(j, 1 - 2\delta_1) \log^{m-1} y_1 \log \log y_1) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^m}{F(j, 1 + \alpha)(m-1)!} \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{j}} \frac{P_l(\frac{\log y_1/jx}{\log y_1}) \log^{m-1} x}{x^{1+\alpha}} dx \\ & \quad + O(F_1(j, 1 - 2\delta_1) \log^{m-1} y_1 \log \log y_1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m \leq y_1/j \\ (p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m, j)=1}} \frac{\mu(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m) \log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_m}{F(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m j, 1 + \alpha) (p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m)^{1+\alpha}} P_l'(\frac{\log \frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m j}}{\log y_1}) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^m}{F(j, 1 + \alpha)(m-1)!} \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{j}} \frac{P_l'(\frac{\log y_1/jx}{\log y_1}) \log^{m-1} x}{x^{1+\alpha}} dx \\ & \quad + O(F_1(j, 1 - 2\delta_1) \log^{m-1} y_1 \log \log y_1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Since $P_l(0) = 0$, we have

$$\int_1^{\frac{y_1}{j}} \frac{(\alpha P_l(\frac{\log y_1/jx}{\log y_1}) + \frac{1}{\log y_1} P_l'(\frac{\log y_1/jx}{\log y_1}))}{x^{1+\alpha}} dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{j}} \alpha P_l\left(\frac{\log y_1/jx}{\log y_1}\right) \frac{1}{x^{1+\alpha}} dx - P_l\left(\frac{\log y_1/jx}{\log y_1}\right) \frac{1}{x^\alpha} \Big|_1^{y_1/j} + \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{j}} P_l\left(\frac{\log y_1/jx}{\log y_1}\right) \left(\frac{1}{x^\alpha}\right)' dx \\
&= P_l\left(\frac{\log y_1/j}{\log y_1}\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

and for $m \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_1^{\frac{y_1}{j}} \frac{(\alpha P_l\left(\frac{\log y_1/jx}{\log y_1}\right) + \frac{1}{\log y_1} P_l'\left(\frac{\log y_1/jx}{\log y_1}\right)) \log^{m-1} x}{x^{1+\alpha}} dx \\
&= \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{j}} \alpha P_l\left(\frac{\log y_1/jx}{\log y_1}\right) \frac{\log^{m-1} x}{x^{1+\alpha}} dx \\
&\quad - P_l\left(\frac{\log y_1/jx}{\log y_1}\right) \frac{\log^{m-1} x}{x^\alpha} \Big|_1^{y_1/j} + \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{j}} P_l\left(\frac{\log y_1/jx}{\log y_1}\right) \left(\frac{\log^{m-1} x}{x^\alpha}\right)' dx \\
&= (m-1) \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{j}} P_l\left(\frac{\log y_1/jx}{\log y_1}\right) \frac{\log^{m-2} x}{x^{1+\alpha}} dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Combine (3.13)-(3.16), we have for $m = 1$,

$$A_1 = \frac{-1}{F(j, 1+\alpha) \log y_1} P_l\left(\frac{\log y_1/j}{\log y_1}\right) + O\left(\frac{F_1(j, 1-2\delta_1) \log \log y_1}{\log^2 y_1}\right) \tag{3.17}$$

and for $m \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= \frac{(-1)^m}{F(j, 1+\alpha)(m-2)! \log^m y_1} \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{j}} P_l\left(\frac{\log y_1/jx}{\log y_1}\right) \frac{\log^{m-2} x}{x^{1+\alpha}} dx \\
&\quad + O\left(\frac{F_1(j, 1-2\delta_1) \log \log y_1}{\log^2 y_1}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

For $(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m, j) = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&F_1(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m j, 1-2\delta_1) = F_1(j, 1-2\delta_1) F_1(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m, 1-2\delta_1) \\
&\leq 2^m F_1(j, 1-2\delta_1),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

hence by lemma 4 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2 &= O\left(\frac{F_1(j, 1-2\delta_1)(\log \log y_1)^2}{\log^{m+2} y_1} \prod_{r=1}^m \sum_{p_r \leq y_1} \frac{\log p_r}{p_r}\right) \\
&= O\left(\frac{F_1(j, 1-2\delta_1)(\log \log y_1)^2}{\log^2 y_1}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

By lemma 4 and Abel summation we have

$$\sum_{p_m \leq y_1/p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} j} \frac{\log p_m}{p_m^{1-d_1}} = O\left(\frac{y_1^{d_1} \log \log y_1}{(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} j)^{d_1}}\right). \tag{3.21}$$

(3.19) and (3.21) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
A_3 &= O\left(\frac{F(j, 1 - 2\delta_1)(\log \log y_1)^2}{\log^{m+1} y_1} \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} \leq y_1/j} \frac{\log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_{m-1}}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \frac{(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} j)^{d_1}}{y^{d_1}} \sum_{p_m \leq y_1/p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} j} \frac{\log p_m}{p_m^{1-d_1}} \right) \\
&= O\left(\frac{F(j, 1 - 2\delta_1)(\log \log y_1)^3}{\log^{m+1} y_1} \prod_{r=1}^{m-1} \sum_{p_r \leq y_1} \frac{\log p_r}{p_r}\right) \\
&= O\left(\frac{F(j, 1 - 2\delta_1)(\log \log y_1)^3}{\log^2 y_1}\right). \tag{3.22}
\end{aligned}$$

Substitute (3.17), (3.18), (3.20) and (3.22) into (3.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{n \leq y_1/j \\ (n, j)=1}} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^{1+\alpha}} P_l\left(\frac{\log(y_1/nj)}{\log y_1}\right) \sum_{p_1|n} \frac{\log p_1}{\log y_1} \\
&= \frac{-1}{F(j, 1 + \alpha) \log y_1} P_l\left(\frac{\log y_1/j}{\log y_1}\right) + O\left(\frac{F_1(j, 1 - 2\delta_1)(\log \log y_1)^3}{\log^2 y_1}\right) \tag{3.23}
\end{aligned}$$

and for $m \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{n \leq y_1/j \\ (n, j)=1}} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^{1+\alpha}} P_l\left(\frac{\log(y_1/nj)}{\log y_1}\right) \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m | n} \frac{\log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_m}{\log^m y_1} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^m}{F(j, 1 + \alpha)(m-2)! \log^m y_1} \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{j}} P_l\left(\frac{\log y_1/jx}{\log y_1}\right) \frac{\log^{m-2} x}{x^{1+\alpha}} dx \\
&\quad + O\left(\frac{F_1(j, 1 - 2\delta_1)(\log \log y_1)^3}{\log^2 y_1}\right). \tag{3.24}
\end{aligned}$$

For $j \leq y_1$, we have trivially

$$\sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m | j} \frac{\log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_m}{\log^m y_1} \leq \prod_{r=1}^m \sum_{p_r | j} \frac{\log p_r}{\log y_1} \leq 1. \tag{3.25}$$

Substitute (3.23)-(3.25) and (3.2) with P_1, y, δ, d replaced by P_l, y_1, δ_1, d_1 into (3.3), we get for $j \leq y_1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_l &= \frac{\mu(j)}{j^{1+\alpha} F(j, 1 + \alpha) \log^l y_1} \left\{ \frac{(-1)^l}{(l-2)!} \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{j}} P_l\left(\frac{\log y_1/jx}{\log y_1}\right) \frac{\log^{l-2} x}{x^{1+\alpha}} dx \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{m=1}^{l-2} C_l^m \frac{(-1)^{l-m}}{(l-m-2)!} \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{j}} P_l\left(\frac{\log y_1/jx}{\log y_1}\right) \frac{\log^{l-m-2} x}{x^{1+\alpha}} dx \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m | j} \log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_m \\
&\quad \left. - C_l^{l-1} P_l\left(\frac{\log y_1/j}{\log y_1}\right) \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{l-1} | j} \log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_{l-1} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left(\alpha P_l \left(\frac{\log y_1/j}{\log y_1} \right) + \frac{1}{\log y_1} P'_l \left(\frac{\log y_1/j}{\log y_1} \right) \right) \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_l | j} \log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_l \} \\
& + O \left(\frac{\mu(j) F_1(j, 1 - 2\delta_1) (\log \log y_1)^3}{j \log^2 y_1} \right) + O \left(\frac{\mu(j) F_1(j, 1 - 2\delta_1) (\log \log y_1)^2}{j \log y_1} \left(\frac{j}{y_1} \right)^{d_1} \right). \quad (3.26)
\end{aligned}$$

Let $1_{y_1}(j) = 1$ for $j \leq y_1$, and $1_{y_1}(j) = 0$ for $j > y_1$, substitute (3.26) and (3.2) into (3.1), we have for $j \leq y$,

$$\begin{aligned}
E_\alpha(j) &= \frac{\mu(j)}{j^{1+\alpha} F(j, 1+\alpha)} \left\{ G_0(\alpha, j) + G_1(\alpha, j) \sum_{p_1 | j} \log p_1 + G_2(\alpha, j) \sum_{p_1 p_2 | j} \log p_1 \log p_2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \cdots + G_I(\alpha, j) \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_I | j} \log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_I \right\} \\
&+ O \left(\frac{\mu(j) F_1(j, 1 - 2\delta) (\log \log y)^3}{j \log^2 y} \right) + O \left(\frac{\mu(j) F_1(j, 1 - 2\delta) (\log \log y)^2}{j \log y} \left(\frac{j}{y} \right)^d \right) \\
&+ O(1_{y_1}(j) \frac{\mu(j) F_1(j, 1 - 2\delta_1) (\log \log y_1)^3}{j \log^2 y_1}) \\
&+ O(1_{y_1}(j) \frac{\mu(j) F_1(j, 1 - 2\delta_1) (\log \log y_1)^2}{j \log y_1} \left(\frac{j}{y_1} \right)^{d_1}), \quad (3.27)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
G_0(\alpha, j) &= \alpha P_1 \left(\frac{\log y/j}{\log y} \right) + \frac{1}{\log y} P'_1 \left(\frac{\log y/j}{\log y} \right) \\
&+ 1_{y_1}(j) \sum_{l=2}^I \frac{(-1)^l}{(l-2)! \log^l y_1} \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{j}} P_l \left(\frac{\log y_1/jx}{\log y_1} \right) \frac{\log^{l-2} x}{x^{1+\alpha}} dx, \quad (3.28)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_1(\alpha, j) &= 1_{y_1}(j) \left\{ \frac{-2}{\log^2 y_1} P_2 \left(\frac{\log y_1/j}{\log y_1} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{l=3}^I C_l^1 \frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{(l-3)! \log^l y_1} \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{j}} P_l \left(\frac{\log y_1/jx}{\log y_1} \right) \frac{\log^{l-3} x}{x^{1+\alpha}} dx \right\}, \quad (3.29)
\end{aligned}$$

for $2 \leq m \leq I-2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
G_m(\alpha, j) &= 1_{y_1}(j) \left\{ \frac{1}{\log^m y_1} \left(\alpha P_m \left(\frac{\log y_1/j}{\log y_1} \right) + \frac{1}{\log y_1} P'_m \left(\frac{\log y_1/j}{\log y_1} \right) \right) \right. \\
&\quad + C_{m+1}^m \frac{-1}{\log^{m+1} y_1} P_{m+1} \left(\frac{\log y_1/j}{\log y_1} \right) \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{l=m+2}^I C_l^m \frac{(-1)^{l-m}}{(l-m-2)! \log^l y_1} \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{j}} P_l \left(\frac{\log y_1/jx}{\log y_1} \right) \frac{\log^{l-m-2} x}{x^{1+\alpha}} dx \right\}, \quad (3.30)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$G_{I-1}(\alpha, j) = 1_{y_1}(j) \left\{ \frac{\alpha P_{I-1} \left(\frac{\log y_1/j}{\log y_1} \right) + \frac{1}{\log y_1} P'_{I-1} \left(\frac{\log y_1/j}{\log y_1} \right)}{\log^{I-1} y_1} - \frac{I}{\log^I y_1} P_I \left(\frac{\log y_1/j}{\log y_1} \right) \right\}, \quad (3.31)$$

$$G_I(\alpha, j) = 1_{y_1}(j) \frac{1}{\log^I y_1} \left\{ \alpha P_I\left(\frac{\log y_1/j}{\log y_1}\right) + \frac{1}{\log y_1} P'_I\left(\frac{\log y_1/j}{\log y_1}\right) \right\}. \quad (3.32)$$

4. Evaluation of $\Sigma(\alpha, \beta)$

Throughout this section, estimation are uniformly for $\alpha, \beta \ll \frac{1}{\log y}$

By (2.11) and (3.27), we have

$$\Sigma(\alpha, \beta) = U_1 + U_2 + \cdots + U_8 + U'_2 + \cdots + U'_8, \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 = \sum_{j \leq y} \frac{\mu^2(j) F(j, 1 + \alpha + \beta)}{j F(j, 1 + \alpha) F(j, 1 + \beta)} & \left(G_0(\alpha, j) + G_1(\alpha, j) \sum_{p_1 | j} \log p_1 \right. \\ & + \cdots + G_I(\alpha, j) \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_I | j} \log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_I \Big) \\ & \times \left(G_0(\beta, j) + G_1(\beta, j) \sum_{p_1 | j} \log p_1 \right. \\ & + \cdots + G_I(\beta, j) \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_I | j} \log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_I \Big), \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

$$U_2 = O\left(\frac{(\log \log y)^6}{\log^4 y} \sum_{j \leq y} \left| \frac{\mu^2(j) F(j, 1 + \alpha + \beta) F_1^2(j, 1 - 2\delta)}{j^{1-\alpha-\beta}} \right| \right), \quad (4.3)$$

$$U_3 = O\left(\frac{(\log \log y)^4}{y^{2d} \log^2 y} \sum_{j \leq y} \left| \frac{\mu^2(j) F(j, 1 + \alpha + \beta) F_1^2(j, 1 - 2\delta)}{j^{1-2d-\alpha-\beta}} \right| \right), \quad (4.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} U_4 = O\left(\frac{(\log \log y)^3}{\log^2 y} \sum_{j \leq y} \left| \frac{\mu^2(j) F(j, 1 + \alpha + \beta) F_1(j, 1 - 2\delta)}{j^{1-\beta} F(j, 1 + \alpha)} \right. \right. \\ \times \left(G_0(\alpha, j) + G_1(\alpha, j) \sum_{p_1 | j} \log p_1 + G_2(\alpha, j) \sum_{p_1 p_2 | j} \log p_1 \log p_2 \right. \\ \left. \left. + \cdots + G_I(\alpha, j) \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_I | j} \log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_I \right) \right| \Big), \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} U_5 = O\left(\frac{(\log \log y)^2}{y^d \log y} \sum_{j \leq y} \left| \frac{\mu^2(j) F(j, 1 + \alpha + \beta) F_1(j, 1 - 2\delta)}{j^{1-d-\beta} F(j, 1 + \alpha)} \right. \right. \\ \times \left(G_0(\alpha, j) + G_1(\alpha, j) \sum_{p_1 | j} \log p_1 + G_2(\alpha, j) \sum_{p_1 p_2 | j} \log p_1 \log p_2 \right. \\ \left. \left. + \cdots + G_I(\alpha, j) \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_I | j} \log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_I \right) \right| \Big), \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
U_6 = O\Big(&\frac{(\log \log y)^3}{\log^2 y} \sum_{j \leq y} \left| \frac{\mu^2(j) F(j, 1 + \alpha + \beta) F_1(j, 1 - 2\delta)}{j^{1-\alpha} F(j, 1 + \beta)} \right. \\
&\times \Big(G_0(\beta, j) + G_1(\beta, j) \sum_{p_1 | j} \log p_1 + G_2(\beta, j) \sum_{p_1 p_2 | j} \log p_1 \log p_2 \\
&\quad \left. + \cdots + G_I(\beta, j) \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_I | j} \log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_I \Big) \Big| \Big), \tag{4.7}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
U_7 = O\Big(&\frac{(\log \log y)^2}{y^d \log y} \sum_{j \leq y} \left| \frac{\mu^2(j) F(j, 1 + \alpha + \beta) F_1(j, 1 - 2\delta)}{j^{1-d-\alpha} F(j, 1 + \beta)} \right. \\
&\times \Big(G_0(\beta, j) + G_1(\beta, j) \sum_{p_1 | j} \log p_1 + G_2(\beta, j) \sum_{p_1 p_2 | j} \log p_1 \log p_2 \\
&\quad \left. + \cdots + G_I(\beta, j) \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_I | j} \log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_I \Big) \Big| \Big), \tag{4.8}
\end{aligned}$$

$$U_8 = O\Big(\frac{(\log \log y)^5}{y^d \log^3 y} \sum_{j \leq y} \left| \frac{\mu^2(j) F(j, 1 + \alpha + \beta) F_1^2(j, 1 - 2\delta)}{j^{1-d-\alpha-\beta}} \right| \Big), \tag{4.9}$$

$U'_2, U'_3, \dots, U'_8$ are the same as $U_2, U_3, \dots, U_8$ with y, δ, d replaced by y_1, δ_1, d_1 .

For $j \leq y$, $\alpha, \beta \ll \frac{1}{\log y}$,

$$j^\alpha, j^\beta = O(1), \quad F(j, 1 + \alpha + \beta) = O(F_1(j, 1 - 2\delta)), \tag{4.10}$$

$$\frac{1}{F(j, 1 + \alpha)} = O(F_1(j, 1 - 2\delta)), \quad \frac{1}{F(j, 1 + \beta)} = O(F_1(j, 1 - 2\delta)). \tag{4.11}$$

Then by

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{p^{1-2\delta}}\right)^3 \leq 1 + \frac{7}{p^{1-2\delta}}, \tag{4.12}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
U_2 &= O\left(\frac{(\log \log y)^6}{\log^4 y} \sum_{j \leq y} \frac{\mu^2(j) F_1^3(j, 1 - 2\delta)}{j}\right) \\
&= O\left(\frac{(\log \log y)^6}{\log^4 y} \sum_{j \leq y} \frac{\mu^2(j)}{j} \sum_{n | j} \frac{d_7(n)}{n^{1-2\delta}}\right) \\
&= O\left(\frac{(\log \log y)^6}{\log^4 y} \sum_{n \leq y} \frac{d_7(n)}{n^{1-2\delta}} \sum_{\substack{j \leq y \\ n | j}} \frac{1}{j}\right) \\
&= O\left(\frac{(\log \log y)^6}{\log^4 y} \sum_{n \leq y} \frac{d_7(n)}{n^{2-2\delta}} \sum_{j_0 \leq y/n} \frac{1}{j_0}\right) \\
&= O\left(\frac{(\log \log y)^6}{\log^4 y} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_7(n)}{n^{2/3}} \log y\right) = O\left(\frac{(\log \log y)^6}{\log^3 y}\right). \tag{4.13}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
U_3 &= O\left(\frac{(\log \log y)^4}{y^{2d} \log^2 y} \sum_{j \leq y} \frac{\mu^2(j) F_1^3(j, 1 - 2\delta)}{j^{1-2d}}\right) \\
&= O\left(\frac{(\log \log y)^4}{y^{2d} \log^2 y} \sum_{j \leq y} \frac{\mu^2(j)}{j^{1-2d}} \sum_{n|j} \frac{d_7(n)}{n^{1-2\delta}}\right) \\
&= O\left(\frac{(\log \log y)^4}{y^{2d} \log^2 y} \sum_{n \leq y} \frac{d_7(n)}{n^{1-2\delta}} \sum_{\substack{j \leq y \\ n|j}} \frac{1}{j^{1-2d}}\right) \\
&= O\left(\frac{(\log \log y)^4}{y^{2d} \log^2 y} \sum_{n \leq y} \frac{d_7(n)}{n^{2-2d-2\delta}} \sum_{j_0 \leq y/n} \frac{1}{j_0^{1-2d}}\right) \\
&= O\left(\frac{(\log \log y)^4}{y^{2d} \log^2 y} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_7(n)}{n^{2/3}} \left(\frac{y^{2d}}{2d}\right)\right) = O\left(\frac{(\log \log y)^5}{\log^2 y}\right), \tag{4.14}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
U_8 &= O\left(\frac{(\log \log y)^5}{y^d \log^3 y} \sum_{j \leq y} \frac{\mu^2(j) F_1^3(j, 1 - 2\delta)}{j^{1-d}}\right) \\
&= O\left(\frac{(\log \log y)^5}{y^d \log^3 y} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_7(n)}{n^{2/3}} \left(\frac{y^d}{d}\right)\right) = O\left(\frac{(\log \log y)^6}{\log^3 y}\right). \tag{4.15}
\end{aligned}$$

For $j \leq y$, $0 \leq m \leq I$, it is easy to show

$$G_m(\alpha, j) = O\left(\frac{1}{\log^{m+1} y}\right). \tag{4.16}$$

By (4.10)-(4.12) and (4.16), we obtain

$$U_4 = O\left(\frac{(\log \log y)^3}{\log^3 y} \sum_{j \leq y} \frac{\mu^2(j) F_1^3(j, 1 - 2\delta)}{j}\right) = O\left(\frac{(\log \log y)^3}{\log^2 y}\right), \tag{4.17}$$

and similarly

$$U_5 = O\left(\frac{(\log \log y)^2}{y^d \log^2 y} \sum_{j \leq y} \frac{\mu^2(j) F_1^3(j, 1 - 2\delta)}{j^{1-d}}\right) = O\left(\frac{(\log \log y)^3}{\log^2 y}\right), \tag{4.18}$$

$$U_6 = O\left(\frac{(\log \log y)^3}{\log^3 y} \sum_{j \leq y} \frac{\mu^2(j) F_1^3(j, 1 - 2\delta)}{j}\right) = O\left(\frac{(\log \log y)^3}{\log^2 y}\right), \tag{4.19}$$

$$U_7 = O\left(\frac{(\log \log y)^2}{y^d \log^2 y} \sum_{j \leq y} \frac{\mu^2(j) F_1^3(j, 1 - 2\delta)}{j^{1-d}}\right) = O\left(\frac{(\log \log y)^3}{\log^2 y}\right). \tag{4.20}$$

We have the similar estimation for $U'_2, U'_3, \dots, U'_8$, then by (4.13)-(4.15), (4.17)-(4.20), and note that $\frac{(\log \log y_1)^5}{\log^2 y_1} = O\left(\frac{(\log \log y)^5}{\log^2 y}\right)$, we obtain

$$U_2 + \dots + U_8 + U'_2 + \dots + U'_8 = O\left(\frac{(\log \log y)^5}{\log^2 y}\right). \tag{4.21}$$

The rest of this section is due to evaluation of U_1 . By Lemma 6 with $N = 1$, Abel summation and (4.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \leq y} \frac{\mu^2(j) F(j, 1 + \alpha + \beta)}{j F(j, 1 + \alpha) F(j, 1 + \beta)} G_0(\alpha, j) G_0(\beta, j) \\ &= Y(\alpha, \beta) \int_1^y \frac{G_0(\alpha, \tau) G_0(\beta, \tau)}{\tau} d\tau + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^2 y}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\alpha, \beta) &= \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{p+1} \left(\frac{1 - p^{-1-\alpha-\beta}}{(1 - p^{-1-\alpha})(1 - p^{-1-\beta})} - 1\right)\right) \\ &= \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{(p^\alpha - 1)(p^\beta - 1)}{(p^{1+\alpha} - 1)(p^{1+\beta} - 1)}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

$Y(\alpha, \beta)$ is an analytic function in $|\alpha| < \frac{1}{4}$, $|\beta| < \frac{1}{4}$, then,

$$Y(\alpha, \beta) = Y(0, 0) + O(\alpha) + O(\beta) = 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log y}\right). \quad (4.24)$$

Let $t = \frac{\log \tau}{\log y_1}$, $\mu = \frac{\log x}{\log y_1}$ and $\alpha = \frac{a}{\log T}$, $\beta = \frac{b}{\log T}$, $y = T^\theta$, $y_1 = T^{\theta_1}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_1^y \frac{G_0(\alpha, \tau) G_0(\beta, \tau)}{\tau} d\tau \\ &= \log y_1 \left\{ \int_0^1 G_0(\alpha, e^{t \log y_1}) G_0(\beta, e^{t \log y_1}) dt + \int_1^{\frac{\theta}{\theta_1}} G_0(\alpha, e^{t \log y_1}) G_0(\beta, e^{t \log y_1}) dt \right\} \\ &= \log y_1 \left\{ \int_0^1 \left(\alpha P_1\left(1 - \frac{\theta_1}{\theta} t\right) + \frac{1}{\log y} P_1'\left(1 - \frac{\theta_1}{\theta} t\right) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \sum_{l=2}^I \frac{(-1)^l}{(l-2)! \log y_1} \int_0^{1-t} P_l(1-t-\mu) \mu^{l-2} e^{-\mu \alpha \log y_1} d\mu \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \left(\beta P_1\left(1 - \frac{\theta_1}{\theta} t\right) + \frac{1}{\log y} P_1'\left(1 - \frac{\theta_1}{\theta} t\right) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \sum_{l=2}^I \frac{(-1)^l}{(l-2)! \log y_1} \int_0^{1-t} P_l(1-t-\mu) \mu^{l-2} e^{-\mu \beta \log y_1} d\mu \right) dt \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_1^{\frac{\theta}{\theta_1}} \left(\alpha P_1\left(1 - \frac{\theta_1}{\theta} t\right) + \frac{1}{\log y} P_1'\left(1 - \frac{\theta_1}{\theta} t\right) \right) \left(\beta P_1\left(1 - \frac{\theta_1}{\theta} t\right) + \frac{1}{\log y} P_1'\left(1 - \frac{\theta_1}{\theta} t\right) \right) dt \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta_1 \log T} \left\{ \int_0^1 V_0(\theta, \theta_1, a, t) V_0(\theta, \theta_1, b, t) dt \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_1^{\frac{\theta}{\theta_1}} V_0^*(\theta, \theta_1, a, t) V_0^*(\theta, \theta_1, b, t) dt \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_0(\theta, \theta_1, a, t) &= a \theta_1 P_1\left(1 - \frac{\theta_1}{\theta} t\right) + \frac{\theta_1}{\theta} P_1'\left(1 - \frac{\theta_1}{\theta} t\right) \\ & \quad + \sum_{l=2}^I \frac{(-1)^l}{(l-2)!} \int_0^{1-t} P_l(1-t-\mu) \mu^{l-2} e^{-a \theta_1 \mu} d\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

$$V_0^*(\theta, \theta_1, a, t) = a\theta_1 P_1(1 - \frac{\theta_1}{\theta}t) + \frac{\theta_1}{\theta} P_1'(1 - \frac{\theta_1}{\theta}t). \quad (4.27)$$

(4.22), (4.24), (4.25) and (4.16) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \leq y} \frac{\mu^2(j) F(j, 1 + \alpha + \beta)}{j F(j, 1 + \alpha) F(j, 1 + \beta)} G_0(\alpha, j) G_0(\beta, j) \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta_1 \log T} \left\{ \int_0^1 V_0(\theta, \theta_1, a, t) V_0(\theta, \theta_1, b, t) dt \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_1^{\frac{\theta}{\theta_1}} V_0^*(\theta, \theta_1, a, t) V_0^*(\theta, \theta_1, b, t) dt \right\} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^2 y}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

For $1 \leq m \leq I$, let $j_0 = j/p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \leq y_1} \frac{\mu^2(j) F(j, 1 + \alpha + \beta)}{j F(j, 1 + \alpha) F(j, 1 + \beta)} G_0(\alpha, j) G_m(\beta, j) \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m | j} \log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_m \\ &= \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m \leq y_1} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m) F(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m, 1 + \alpha + \beta) \log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_m}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m F(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m, 1 + \alpha) F(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m, 1 + \beta)} \times \\ & \quad \sum_{\substack{j_0 \leq \frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m} \\ (j_0, p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m) = 1}} \frac{\mu^2(j_0) F(j_0, 1 + \alpha + \beta)}{j_0 F(j_0, 1 + \alpha) F(j_0, 1 + \beta)} G_0(\alpha, j_0 p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m) G_m(\beta, j_0 p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m). \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

For $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m \leq y_1$ and $\mu(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m) \neq 0$, by lemma 6 with $N = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m$, Abel summation, (4.24) and (4.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{j_0 \leq \frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m} \\ (j_0, p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m) = 1}} \frac{\mu^2(j_0) F(j_0, 1 + \alpha + \beta)}{j_0 F(j_0, 1 + \alpha) F(j_0, 1 + \beta)} G_0(\alpha, j_0 p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m) G_m(\beta, j_0 p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m) \\ &= Y(\alpha, \beta) \prod_{r=1}^m \left(1 + \frac{1}{p_r}\right)^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p_r + 1} \left(\frac{1 - p_r^{-1-\alpha-\beta}}{(1 - p_r^{-1-\alpha})(1 - p_r^{-1-\beta})} - 1\right)\right)^{-1} \\ & \quad \times \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m}} \frac{G_0(\alpha, \tau p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m) G_m(\beta, \tau p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m)}{\tau} d\tau + O\left(\frac{\log \log y}{\log^{m+2} y}\right) \\ &= \prod_{r=1}^m \frac{(p_r^{1+\alpha} - 1)(p_r^{1+\beta} - 1)}{(p_r^{1+\alpha} - 1)(p_r^{1+\beta} - 1) + (p_r^{1+\alpha+\beta} - 1)} \\ & \quad \times \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m}} \frac{G_0(\alpha, \tau p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m) G_m(\beta, \tau p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m)}{\tau} d\tau + O\left(\frac{\log \log y}{\log^{m+2} y}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

Substitute (4.30) into (4.29), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \leq y_1} \frac{\mu^2(j) F(j, 1 + \alpha + \beta)}{j F(j, 1 + \alpha) F(j, 1 + \beta)} G_0(\alpha, j) G_m(\beta, j) \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m | j} \log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_m \\ &= \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m \leq y_1} \mu^2(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m) \prod_{r=1}^m \frac{(p_r^{1+\alpha+\beta} - 1) \log p_r}{(p_r^{1+\alpha} - 1)(p_r^{1+\beta} - 1) + (p_r^{1+\alpha+\beta} - 1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m}} \frac{G_0(\alpha, \tau p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m) G_m(\beta, \tau p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m)}{\tau} d\tau \\
& + O\left(\frac{\log \log y}{\log^{m+2} y} \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m \leq y_1} \prod_{r=1}^m \frac{|p_r^{1+\alpha+\beta} - 1| \log p_r}{|(p_r^{1+\alpha} - 1)(p_r^{1+\beta} - 1)|}\right) \\
& = H_1 + O(H_2),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

say. It is easy to show

$$\frac{p^{1+\alpha+\beta} - 1}{(p^{1+\alpha} - 1)(p^{1+\beta} - 1)} = O\left(\frac{1}{p}\right), \tag{4.32}$$

$$\frac{p^{1+\alpha+\beta} - 1}{(p^{1+\alpha} - 1)(p^{1+\beta} - 1) + (p^{1+\alpha+\beta} - 1)} = \frac{1}{p} + O\left(\frac{1}{p^{3/2}}\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{p}\right). \tag{4.33}$$

Hence by lemma 4,

$$H_2 = O\left(\frac{\log \log y}{\log^{m+2} y} \prod_{r=1}^m \sum_{p_r \leq y_1} \frac{\log p_r}{p_r}\right) = O\left(\frac{\log \log y}{\log^2 y}\right), \tag{4.34}$$

and by (4.16) and lemma 5,

$$\begin{aligned}
H_1 &= \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} \leq y_1} \mu^2(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1}) \prod_{r=1}^{m-1} \frac{(p_r^{1+\alpha+\beta} - 1) \log p_r}{(p_r^{1+\alpha} - 1)(p_r^{1+\beta} - 1) + (p_r^{1+\alpha+\beta} - 1)} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\substack{p_m \leq y_1 / p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} \\ (p_m, p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1}) = 1}} \frac{\log p_m}{p_m} \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m}} \frac{G_0(\alpha, \tau p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m) G_m(\beta, \tau p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m)}{\tau} d\tau \\
&\quad + O\left(\prod_{r=1}^{m-1} \sum_{p_r \leq y_1} \frac{\log p_r}{p_r} \sum_{p_m \leq y_1} \frac{\log p_m}{p_m^{3/2} \log^{m+1} y}\right) \\
&= \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} \leq y_1} \mu^2(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1}) \prod_{r=1}^{m-1} \frac{(p_r^{1+\alpha+\beta} - 1) \log p_r}{(p_r^{1+\alpha} - 1)(p_r^{1+\beta} - 1) + (p_r^{1+\alpha+\beta} - 1)} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{p_m \leq y_1 / p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1}} \frac{\log p_m}{p_m} \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m}} \frac{G_0(\alpha, \tau p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m) G_m(\beta, \tau p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m)}{\tau} d\tau \\
&\quad + O\left(\sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} \leq y_1} \prod_{r=1}^{m-1} \frac{\log p_r}{p_r} \sum_{p_m | p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1}} \frac{\log p_m}{p_m \log^{m+1} y}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^2 y}\right) \\
&= \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} \leq y_1} \mu^2(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1}) \prod_{r=1}^{m-1} \frac{(p_r^{1+\alpha+\beta} - 1) \log p_r}{(p_r^{1+\alpha} - 1)(p_r^{1+\beta} - 1) + (p_r^{1+\alpha+\beta} - 1)} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{p_m \leq y_1 / p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1}} \frac{\log p_m}{p_m} \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m}} \frac{G_0(\alpha, \tau p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m) G_m(\beta, \tau p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m)}{\tau} d\tau \\
&\quad + O\left(\frac{\log \log y}{\log^2 y}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

We have by lemma 4, Abel summation and (4.16)

$$\sum_{p_m \leq y_1 / p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1}} \frac{\log p_m}{p_m} \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m}} \frac{G_0(\alpha, \tau p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m) G_m(\beta, \tau p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m)}{\tau} d\tau$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1}}} \frac{1}{\tau_m} \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} \tau_m}} \frac{G_0(\alpha, \tau p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} \tau_m) G_m(\beta, \tau p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} \tau_m)}{\tau} d\tau d\tau_m \\
&\quad + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^{m+1} y}\right). \tag{4.36}
\end{aligned}$$

(4.31)-(4.36) and (4.16) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j \leq y_1} \frac{\mu^2(j) F(j, 1 + \alpha + \beta)}{j F(j, 1 + \alpha) F(j, 1 + \beta)} G_0(\alpha, j) G_m(\beta, j) \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m | j} \log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_m \\
&= \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} \leq y_1} \mu^2(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1}) \prod_{r=1}^{m-1} \frac{(p_r^{1+\alpha+\beta} - 1) \log p_r}{(p_r^{1+\alpha} - 1)(p_r^{1+\beta} - 1) + (p_r^{1+\alpha+\beta} - 1)} \times \\
&\quad \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1}}} \frac{1}{\tau_m} \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} \tau_m}} \frac{G_0(\alpha, \tau p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} \tau_m) G_m(\beta, \tau p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} \tau_m)}{\tau} d\tau d\tau_m \\
&\quad + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^{m+1} y} \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} \leq y_1} \prod_{r=1}^{m-1} \frac{|p_r^{1+\alpha+\beta} - 1| \log p_r}{|(p_r^{1+\alpha} - 1)(p_r^{1+\beta} - 1) + (p_r^{1+\alpha+\beta} - 1)|}\right) + O\left(\frac{\log \log y}{\log^2 y}\right) \\
&= \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-2} \leq y_1} \mu^2(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-2}) \prod_{r=1}^{m-2} \frac{(p_r^{1+\alpha+\beta} - 1) \log p_r}{(p_r^{1+\alpha} - 1)(p_r^{1+\beta} - 1) + (p_r^{1+\alpha+\beta} - 1)} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{p_{m-1} \leq y_1 / p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-2}} \frac{\log p_{m-1}}{p_{m-1}} \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1}}} \frac{1}{\tau_m} \\
&\quad \times \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} \tau_m}} \frac{G_0(\alpha, \tau p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} \tau_m) G_m(\beta, \tau p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} \tau_m)}{\tau} d\tau d\tau_m \\
&\quad + O\left(\sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-2} \leq y_1} \prod_{r=1}^{m-2} \frac{\log p_r}{p_r} \sum_{p_{m-1} | p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-2}} \frac{\log p_{m-1}}{p_{m-1} \log^m y}\right) + O\left(\frac{\log \log y}{\log^2 y}\right) \\
&= \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-2} \leq y_1} \mu^2(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-2}) \prod_{r=1}^{m-2} \frac{(p_r^{1+\alpha+\beta} - 1) \log p_r}{(p_r^{1+\alpha} - 1)(p_r^{1+\beta} - 1) + (p_r^{1+\alpha+\beta} - 1)} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{p_{m-1} \leq y_1 / p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-2}} \frac{\log p_{m-1}}{p_{m-1}} \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1}}} \frac{1}{\tau_m} \\
&\quad \times \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} \tau_m}} \frac{G_0(\alpha, \tau p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} \tau_m) G_m(\beta, \tau p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m-1} \tau_m)}{\tau} d\tau d\tau_m \\
&\quad + O\left(\frac{\log \log y}{\log^2 y}\right). \tag{4.37}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus by induction, lemma 7 and the same variable transformation as in (4.25), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j \leq y_1} \frac{\mu^2(j) F(j, 1 + \alpha + \beta)}{j F(j, 1 + \alpha) F(j, 1 + \beta)} G_0(\alpha, j) G_m(\beta, j) \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m | j} \log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_m \\
&= \int_1^{y_1} \frac{1}{\tau_1} \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{\tau_1}} \frac{1}{\tau_2} \cdots \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{\tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_{m-1}}} \frac{1}{\tau_m} \\
&\quad \times \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{\tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_m}} \frac{G_0(\alpha, \tau \tau_1 \cdots \tau_m) G_m(\beta, \tau \tau_1 \cdots \tau_m)}{\tau} d\tau d\tau_m \cdots d\tau_1 + O\left(\frac{\log \log y}{\log^2 y}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_1^{y_1} \frac{G_0(\alpha, \tau) G_m(\beta, \tau) \log^m \tau}{m! \tau} d\tau + O\left(\frac{\log \log y}{\log^2 y}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\theta_1 \log T} \int_0^1 \frac{V_0(\theta, \theta_1, a, t) V_m(\theta, \theta_1, b, t) t^m}{m!} dt + O\left(\frac{\log \log y}{\log^2 y}\right), \tag{4.38}
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j \leq y_1} \frac{\mu^2(j) F(j, 1 + \alpha + \beta)}{j F(j, 1 + \alpha) F(j, 1 + \beta)} G_m(\alpha, j) G_0(\beta, j) \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m | j} \log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_m \\
&= \frac{1}{\theta_1 \log T} \int_0^1 \frac{V_m(\theta, \theta_1, a, t) V_0(\theta, \theta_1, b, t) t^m}{m!} dt + O\left(\frac{\log \log y}{\log^2 y}\right), \tag{4.39}
\end{aligned}$$

with V_0 defined by (4.26),

$$V_1(\theta, \theta_1, a, t) = -2P_2(1-t) + \sum_{l=3}^I \mathcal{C}_l^1 \frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{(l-3)!} \int_0^{1-t} P_l(1-t-\mu) \mu^{l-3} e^{-a\theta_1 \mu} d\mu, \tag{4.40}$$

for $2 \leq m \leq I-2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
V_m(\theta, \theta_1, a, t) &= a\theta_1 P_m(1-t) + P'_m(1-t) - \mathcal{C}_{m+1}^m P_{m+1}(1-t) \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=m+2}^I \mathcal{C}_l^m \frac{(-1)^{l-m}}{(l-m-2)!} \int_0^{1-t} P_l(1-t-\mu) \mu^{l-m-2} e^{-a\theta_1 \mu} d\mu, \tag{4.41}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$V_{I-1}(\theta, \theta_1, a, t) = a\theta_1 P_{I-1}(1-t) + P'_{I-1}(1-t) - IP_I(1-t), \tag{4.42}$$

$$V_I(\theta, \theta_1, a, t) = a\theta_1 P_I(1-t) + P'_I(1-t). \tag{4.43}$$

For $1 \leq m_1, m_2 \leq I$, by Lemma 8 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j \leq y_1} \frac{\mu^2(j) F(j, 1 + \alpha + \beta)}{j F(j, 1 + \alpha) F(j, 1 + \beta)} G_{m_1}(\alpha, j) \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m_1} | j} \log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_{m_1} \\
&\quad \times G_{m_2}(\beta, j) \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m_2} | j} \log p_1 \log p_2 \cdots \log p_{m_2} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\min(m_1, m_2)} \mathcal{P}_{m_1}^k \mathcal{C}_{m_2}^k \sum_{j \leq y_1} \frac{\mu^2(j) F(j, 1 + \alpha + \beta)}{j F(j, 1 + \alpha) F(j, 1 + \beta)} G_{m_1}(\alpha, j) G_{m_2}(\beta, j) \\
&\quad \times \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m_1+m_2-k} | j} \log^2 p_1 \log^2 p_2 \cdots \log^2 p_k \log p_{k+1} \cdots \log p_{m_1+m_2-k}. \tag{4.44}
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to the proof of (4.38), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j \leq y_1} \frac{\mu^2(j) F(j, 1 + \alpha + \beta)}{j F(j, 1 + \alpha) F(j, 1 + \beta)} G_{m_1}(\alpha, j) G_{m_2}(\beta, j) \\
&\quad \times \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{m_1+m_2-k} | j} \log^2 p_1 \log^2 p_2 \cdots \log^2 p_k \log p_{k+1} \cdots \log p_{m_1+m_2-k}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_1^{y_1} \frac{\log \tau_1}{\tau_1} \dots \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{\tau_1 \tau_2 \dots \tau_{k-1}}} \frac{\log \tau_k}{\tau_k} \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{\tau_1 \tau_2 \dots \tau_k}} \frac{1}{\tau_{k+1}} \dots \\
&\quad \int_1^{\frac{y_1}{\tau_1 \tau_2 \dots \tau_{m_1+m_2-k}}} \frac{G_{m_1}(\alpha, \tau \tau_1 \dots \tau_{m_1+m_2-k}) G_{m_2}(\beta, \tau \tau_1 \dots \tau_{m_1+m_2-k})}{\tau} d\tau d\tau_{m_1+m_2-k} \dots d\tau_1 \\
&\quad + O\left(\frac{\log \log y}{\log^2 y}\right). \tag{4.45}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus by lemma 9 and the same variable transformation as in (4.25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j \leq y_1} \frac{\mu^2(j) F(j, 1 + \alpha + \beta)}{j F(j, 1 + \alpha) F(j, 1 + \beta)} G_{m_1}(\alpha, j) G_{m_2}(\beta, j) \\
&\quad \times \sum_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_{m_1+m_2-k} | j} \log^2 p_1 \log^2 p_2 \dots \log^2 p_k \log p_{k+1} \dots \log p_{m_1+m_2-k} \\
&= \int_1^{y_1} \frac{G_{m_1}(\alpha, \tau) G_{m_2}(\beta, \tau) \log^{m_1+m_2} \tau}{(m_1 + m_2)! \tau} d\tau + O\left(\frac{\log \log y}{\log^2 y}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\theta_1 \log T} \int_0^1 \frac{V_{m_1}(\theta, \theta_1, a, t) V_{m_2}(\theta, \theta_1, b, t) t^{m_1+m_2}}{(m_1 + m_2)!} dt + O\left(\frac{\log \log y}{\log^2 y}\right). \tag{4.46}
\end{aligned}$$

with $V_1, \dots, V_I$ defined by (4.40)-(4.43).

Substitute (4.28), (4.38), (4.39), (4.44) and (4.46) into (4.2), we get

$$U_1 = \frac{1}{\theta_1 \log T} \left\{ \int_0^1 \mathcal{F}(\theta, \theta_1, a, b, t) dt + \int_1^{\frac{\theta}{\theta_1}} \mathcal{F}^*(\theta, \theta_1, a, b, t) dt \right\} + O\left(\frac{\log \log y}{\log^2 y}\right), \tag{4.47}$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}(\theta, \theta_1, a, b, t) = \sum_{m_1=0}^I \sum_{m_2=0}^I \sum_{k=0}^{\min(m_1, m_2)} \mathcal{P}_{m_1}^k \mathcal{C}_{m_2}^k \frac{V_{m_1}(\theta, \theta_1, a, t) V_{m_2}(\theta, \theta_1, b, t) t^{m_1+m_2}}{(m_1 + m_2)!}, \tag{4.48}$$

$$\mathcal{F}^*(\theta, \theta_1, a, b, t) = V_0^*(\theta, \theta_1, a, t) V_0^*(\theta, \theta_1, b, t). \tag{4.49}$$

(4.47) with (4.1), (4.21) gives

$$\Sigma(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{\theta_1 \log T} \left\{ \int_0^1 \mathcal{F}(\theta, \theta_1, a, b, t) dt + \int_1^{\frac{\theta}{\theta_1}} \mathcal{F}^*(\theta, \theta_1, a, b, t) dt \right\} + O\left(\frac{(\log \log y)^5}{\log^2 y}\right). \tag{4.50}$$

5. Proof of Theorem 1

By (4.50) and lemma 2, we have as $T \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
g(\alpha, \beta, w) &= \int_0^1 \frac{\mathcal{F}(\theta, \theta_1, b, a, t) - e^{-a-b} \mathcal{F}(\theta, \theta_1, -a, -b, t)}{\theta_1(a+b)} dt \\
&\quad + \int_1^{\frac{\theta}{\theta_1}} \frac{\mathcal{F}^*(\theta, \theta_1, b, a, t) - e^{-a-b} \mathcal{F}^*(\theta, \theta_1, -a, -b, t)}{\theta_1(a+b)} dt + o_\delta(1) \tag{5.1}
\end{aligned}$$

uniformly for $a, b \ll 1$ and $T \leq w \leq 2T$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\Delta \pi^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(t-w)^2 \Delta^{-2}} |BV(\sigma_0 + it)|^2 dt \\
&= Q\left(\frac{-d}{da}\right) Q\left(\frac{-d}{db}\right) g(\alpha, \beta, w) \Big|_{a=b=-R} \\
&= \int_0^1 Q\left(\frac{-d}{da}\right) Q\left(\frac{-d}{db}\right) \left(\frac{\mathcal{F}(\theta, \theta_1, b, a, t) - e^{-a-b} \mathcal{F}(\theta, \theta_1, -a, -b, t)}{\theta_1(a+b)} \right) \Big|_{a=b=-R} dt \\
&\quad + \int_1^{\frac{\theta}{\theta_1}} Q\left(\frac{-d}{da}\right) Q\left(\frac{-d}{db}\right) \left(\frac{\mathcal{F}^*(\theta, \theta_1, b, a, t) - e^{-a-b} \mathcal{F}^*(\theta, \theta_1, -a, -b, t)}{\theta_1(a+b)} \right) \Big|_{a=b=-R} dt \\
&\quad + o_\delta(1)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

uniformly for $T \leq w \leq 2T$, with $\Delta = T^{1-\delta}$. Thus it follows exactly as in section 3 of Balasubramanian, Conrey and Heath-Brown [2] that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{T} \int_1^T |BV(\sigma_0 + it)|^2 dt \\
&= \int_0^1 Q\left(\frac{-d}{da}\right) Q\left(\frac{-d}{db}\right) \left(\frac{\mathcal{F}(\theta, \theta_1, b, a, t) - e^{-a-b} \mathcal{F}(\theta, \theta_1, -a, -b, t)}{\theta_1(a+b)} \right) \Big|_{a=b=-R} dt \\
&\quad + \int_1^{\frac{\theta}{\theta_1}} Q\left(\frac{-d}{da}\right) Q\left(\frac{-d}{db}\right) \left(\frac{\mathcal{F}^*(\theta, \theta_1, b, a, t) - e^{-a-b} \mathcal{F}^*(\theta, \theta_1, -a, -b, t)}{\theta_1(a+b)} \right) \Big|_{a=b=-R} dt \\
&\quad + o_\delta(1)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Lemma 1 and (5.3) gives

Theorem 2. Let T be a large parameter and $L = \log T$, R be a positive real number, $\theta < \frac{4}{7}$, $\theta_1 < \frac{1}{2}$, $I \geq 2$ is a integer, P_1 is a real polynomial with $P_1(0) = 0$ and $P_1(1) = 1$, $P_l(l = 2, \dots, I)$ are real polynomials with $P_l(0) = 0$. Let Q be a real polynomial with $Q(0) = 1$ and $Q'(x) = Q'(1-x)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa \geq & 1 - \frac{1}{R} \log \left(\int_0^1 Q\left(\frac{-d}{da}\right) Q\left(\frac{-d}{db}\right) \left(\frac{\mathcal{F}(\theta, \theta_1, b, a, t) - e^{-a-b} \mathcal{F}(\theta, \theta_1, -a, -b, t)}{\theta_1(a+b)} \right) \Big|_{a=b=-R} dt \right. \\
& \left. + \int_1^{\frac{\theta}{\theta_1}} Q\left(\frac{-d}{da}\right) Q\left(\frac{-d}{db}\right) \left(\frac{\mathcal{F}^*(\theta, \theta_1, b, a, t) - e^{-a-b} \mathcal{F}^*(\theta, \theta_1, -a, -b, t)}{\theta_1(a+b)} \right) \Big|_{a=b=-R} dt \right), \tag{5.4}
\end{aligned}$$

with $\mathcal{F}(\theta, \theta_1, a, b, t)$ and $\mathcal{F}^*(\theta, \theta_1, a, b, t)$ defined by (4.48) and (4.49), $V_0, V_0^*, V_1, \dots, V_I$ defined by (4.26), (4.27), (4.40)-(4.43).

Proof of theorem 1. By theorem 2, with

$$\theta = \frac{4}{7} - \varepsilon, \quad \theta_1 = \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon, \quad R = 1.3025, \quad I = 3,$$

$$P_1(x) = x + 0.2950x(1-x) - 2.2345x(1-x)^2 + 1.882x(1-x)^3,$$

$$P_2(x) = 0.0849x + 1.9824x^2,$$

$$P_3(x) = 0.7516x,$$

$$Q(x) = 1 - 0.6684x - 1.0798\left(\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{x^3}{3}\right) - 5.0447\left(\frac{x^3}{3} - \frac{x^4}{2} + \frac{x^5}{5}\right),$$

and let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$\kappa \geq 0.4128.$$

Corollary 1. The ' $\theta = 1$ ' conjecture implies

$$\kappa \geq 0.6107. \tag{5.5}$$

Here the ' $\theta = 1$ ' conjecture means that lemma 2, and then theorem 2, is valid for any $\theta = \theta_1 < 1$.

Proof. With

$$\theta = \theta_1 = 1 - \varepsilon, \quad R = 0.7721, \quad I = 3,$$

$$P_1(x) = x + 0.1560x(1 - x) - 1.4045x(1 - x)^2 - 0.0662x(1 - x)^3,$$

$$P_2(x) = 2.0409x + 0.2661x^2,$$

$$P_3(x) = -0.0734x,$$

$$Q(x) = 1 - 0.7721x - 0.1901\left(\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{x^3}{3}\right) - 3.9627\left(\frac{x^3}{3} - \frac{x^4}{2} + \frac{x^5}{5}\right),$$

and let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$\kappa \geq 0.6107.$$

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Professor Conrey for helpful discussion and comments, especially for remind me to verify that if θ_1 is permitted to take $\theta_1 = \frac{4}{7} - \varepsilon$ as in earlier version of the manuscript.

References

- [1] R. J. Anderson, *Simple zeros of the Riemann zeta-function*, J. Number Theory. **17**(1983), 176-182.
- [2] R. Balasubramanian, J. B. Conrey and D. R. Heath-Brown, *Asymptotic mean square of the product of the Riemann zeta-function and a Dirichlet polynomial*, J. reine angew. Math. **357**(1985), 161-181.
- [3] H. M. Bui, J. B. Conrey and M. P. Young, *More than 41% of the zeros of the zeta function are on the critical line*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1002.4127v2>.

- [4] J. B. Conrey, *Zeros of derivatives of the Riemann's ξ -function on the critical line*, J. Number Theory. **16**(1983), 49-74.
- [5] J. B. Conrey, *Zeros of derivatives of the Riemann's ξ -function on the critical line, II*, J. Number Theory. **17**(1983), 71-75.
- [6] J. B. Conrey, *More than two fifths of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function are on the critical line*, J. reine angew. Math. **399**(1989), 1-26.
- [7] J. M. Deshouillers and H. Iwaniec, *Kloosterman sums and Fourier coefficients of cusp forms*, Invent. Math. **70**(1982), 219-288.
- [8] J. M. Deshouillers and H. Iwaniec, *Power mean values of the Riemann zeta function II*, Acta Arith. **48**(1984), 305-312.
- [9] D. W. Farmer, *Long mollifiers of the Riemann zeta-function*, Mathematika. **40**(1993), 71-87.
- [10] S. Feng, *A note on the zeros of the derivative of the Riemann zeta function near the critical line*, Acta. Arith. **120**(2005), 59-68.
- [11] G. H. Hardy, *Sur les zéros de la fonction $\zeta(s)$ de Riemann*, C. R. **158**(1914), 1012-1014.
- [12] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *The zeros of Riemann's zeta-function on the critical line*, Math. Z. **10**(1921), 283-317.
- [13] D. R. Heath-Brown, *Simple zeros of the Riemann zeta-function on the critical line*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **11**(1979), 17-18.
- [14] N. Levinson, *More than one third of zeros of Riemann's zeta-function are on $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$* , Adv. Math. **13**(1974), 383-436.
- [15] N. Levinson, *Deduction of semi-optimal mollifier for obtaining lower bounds for $N_0(T)$ for Riemann's zeta function*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA. **72**(1975), 294-297.
- [16] N. Levinson, *Summing certain number theoretic series arising in the sieve*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **22**(1968), 631-645.
- [17] N. Levinson and H. L. Montgomery, *zeros of the derivative of the Riemann zeta-function*, Acta mathematica. **133**(1974), 49-65.
- [18] S. Lou and Q. Yao, *A lower bound for zeros of Riemann's zeta function on the line $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$* , (chinese) Acta Mathematica Sinica. **24**(1981), 390-400.
- [19] H. L. Montgomery, *Selberg's work on the zeta-function*, Number theory, trace formulas and discrete groups, Academic Press, Boston, (1989), 157-168.
- [20] B. Riemann, *Über die Anzahl Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grösse*. Monatsber. Akad. Berlin. (1859), 671-680.

- [21] A. Selberg, *On the zeros of Riemann's zeta-function*, Skr. Norske Vid. Akad. Oslo. **10**(1942), 1-59.
- [22] A. Selberg, *On an elementary method in the theory of primes*, Norske Vid. Selsk. Forh. **19**(1947), 64-67.
- [23] C. L. Siegel, *Über Riemann's Nachlass zur analytischen Zahlentheorie*, Quellen und Studien zur Geschichte der Math. Astr. und Physik, Abt. B: Studien **2**(1932), 45-80.
- [24] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta Function*. 2nd edition. revised by D. R. Heath-Brown, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1986.
- [25] Y. Zhang, *On the zeros of $\zeta'(s)$ near the critical line*, Duke Math. J. **110**(2001), 555-571.